



## LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF RECOVERING STATE FINANCIAL LOSSES FROM INHERITED CORRUPTION ASSETS

Sang Made Satya Dita Permana<sup>1</sup>, I Nyoman Gede Sugiarta<sup>2</sup>, Ni Komang Arini Styawati<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Master of Laws Study Program, Postgraduate Faculty, Warmadewa University, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: Sang Made Satya Dita Permana

E-Mail: [satyaditapermana@gmail.com](mailto:satyaditapermana@gmail.com)

### Info Artikel:

Submit: 2026-01-16

Vol: 4

### Keywords:

Asset Recovery,  
Corruption,  
Heirs, Legal  
Framework,  
State Financial Loss

Revised: 2026-02-21

Number: 3

### Abstract:

This article examines the legal implications of recovering state financial losses resulting from corruption when the perpetrator has passed away, and the assets are inherited by their heirs. The study aims to identify the challenges and legal frameworks in place to address the recovery of such assets and explore the rights of heirs in relation to the state's claims. The research employs a qualitative legal analysis, utilizing normative legal approaches and reviewing case law, statutes, and legal doctrines. The findings reveal that although the legal framework allows for the recovery of assets from heirs, it presents significant challenges, including the identification of assets, the legitimacy of claims, and the enforcement of recovery actions. The article concludes that while existing regulations provide a basis for asset recovery, more robust legal mechanisms and clearer procedural guidelines are needed to ensure the effective restitution of state assets and uphold justice in cases involving deceased corruption perpetrators. The study suggests further reforms to enhance the clarity and efficiency of legal processes regarding inherited corruption assets.

Accepted: 2026-03-20

Page: 218 - 223

## INTRODUCTION

The management of state financial losses resulting from corruption remains one of the most significant challenges for public governance in Indonesia. A key issue arises when the perpetrator of corruption has passed away, leaving behind assets that the state could claim. This research aims to explore the legal implications of recovering state financial losses from inherited corruption assets, focusing on the role of heirs in this process (Sakinah et al., 2023; Utama et al., 2025). This study is critical as it addresses the gaps in the current legal framework regarding the recovery of assets, which are often inherited and further complicate enforcement actions. While existing laws provide guidelines for asset recovery, the effectiveness of these regulations, especially in cases involving heirs, remains underexplored. The need for this research stems from the growing concerns about the challenges faced by law enforcement and the judiciary when dealing with inherited corruption assets (Herman & Rajagukguk, 2025; Maulidah et al., 2025; Rahayu & Idami, 2025; Rahman & Husnul, 2024; Rukmono et al., 2024; Wedha et al., 2025). Although there are legal provisions to reclaim state assets, they do not fully address the complexities associated with inheritance and the potential resistance from heirs who may dispute the legitimacy of state claims. This gap has not been sufficiently examined in previous studies, which tend to focus on the immediate recovery of assets from the perpetrator or the criminal's estate, rather than the situation where assets pass to heirs. This research contributes to filling this gap by providing a detailed analysis of the legal processes involved in recovering such assets and the obstacles faced in practice (Herman & Rajagukguk, 2025; Maulidah et al., 2025; Rukmono et al., 2024).





Governance, taxation, and auditing play a vital role in ensuring that public resources are not misappropriated and that state financial losses are minimized. However, when corruption occurs, it undermines the principles of transparency and accountability. As such, it is essential to understand how the legal framework can be strengthened to ensure effective restitution. This research offers a critical examination of the existing legal mechanisms for recovering corruption-related state assets from heirs, focusing on their application in Indonesia’s context of public governance. In doing so, it provides a valuable contribution to the field of community service, which aims to protect public funds and uphold the integrity of the legal system (Rahman & Husnul, 2024). The issue of asset recovery from the heirs of deceased perpetrators of corruption also intersects with broader governance issues, such as the need for better legal and institutional frameworks that promote accountability and trust in the judicial process. Previous research in this area has highlighted the importance of addressing the full spectrum of asset recovery, yet it has not sufficiently explored the inherent aspect of this process. This study aims to fill that void by examining the extent to which current legal mechanisms are effective in dealing with inherited corruption assets. The research problem, therefore, is the challenge of reconciling the rights of heirs with the need for the state to recover stolen assets for the benefit of the public (Saragih et al., 2024).

The primary research questions guiding this study are: How effective are the current legal frameworks for recovering assets from heirs of deceased corruption perpetrators? What challenges exist in the enforcement of asset recovery when the assets have passed to heirs? Moreover, what recommendations can be made to improve the process of asset recovery in such cases? By answering these questions, the research aims to contribute to both academic literature and practical legal solutions for asset recovery in Indonesia. This study builds upon and extends previous research on asset recovery and inheritance law. Prior studies have largely focused on the recovery of assets from living perpetrators or have examined the inheritance rights of heirs without considering the state’s interest in reclaiming ill-gotten wealth. This research, therefore, is positioned as a novel contribution by linking these two aspects and offering a fresh perspective on the issue of asset recovery in the context of governance and corruption (Rahayu & Idami, 2025; Wedha et al., 2025).

The scientific novelty of this study lies in its approach to the intersection of governance, inheritance law, and corruption. By focusing on the heirs of deceased corruption perpetrators, this research explores a legal gray area that has not been sufficiently addressed by earlier scholarship. Furthermore, it provides a critical evaluation of how inheritance law interacts with public governance and asset recovery efforts, which is crucial for improving legal practices in the management of state financial losses due to corruption. In line with the objectives of this study, the research methodology employs a qualitative legal analysis, using both statutory law and case law to explore the practical challenges of asset recovery from heirs. The approach includes examining the rights of heirs, the processes for recovering inherited assets, and the effectiveness of legal institutions in enforcing such recovery. This method will provide a comprehensive understanding of the issue and highlight areas where the legal framework needs to be strengthened.

The research is relevant not only to the field of public governance and law but also to the broader discussion on enhancing the efficiency and integrity of judicial processes in Indonesia (Aziz et al., 2023; Nuril Hakim et al., 2024; Priyatno, 2018). It offers policymakers and legal practitioners insights into the potential reforms required to improve asset recovery from heirs and ensure that state financial losses from corruption are effectively addressed. By bridging the gap between governance, taxation, auditing, and inheritance law, this research provides valuable recommendations for improving Indonesia’s anti-corruption efforts and restoring public trust in the legal system. This article serves as a reference for future studies in the field of asset recovery and





inheritance law, particularly in relation to corruption. Its findings will provide a clearer understanding of the challenges and legal limitations involved in recovering state assets from heirs, as well as propose practical solutions for improving the current system. Ultimately, this research aims to contribute to the ongoing efforts to ensure that public funds are protected from corruption, thus promoting a more transparent and accountable governance system in Indonesia (Ashilsyah et al., 2024; Qisa'i, 2020; Sianturi, 2025; Suparto et al., 2024; Yusnita & Toriq, 2024).

## METHODS

This research utilizes a quantitative approach, employing a descriptive design to analyze the financial impact of corruption recovery from heirs. A purposive sampling method was applied to select 10 legal cases involving the inheritance of assets obtained from corruption, which were resolved in Bali within the past five years. The research was conducted at legal institutions, courts, and relevant government offices in Bali. Data were collected through document analysis of court records and interviews with key stakeholders, including legal experts and government officials. The data analysis is performed using statistical software (SPSS) to conduct descriptive statistics and regression analysis, providing insights into the patterns of asset recovery and its financial implications.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of this study are based on the analysis of data collected from legal cases regarding the inheritance of assets obtained through corruption. The research activities involved both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data was gathered through direct interviews with legal experts, government officials, and representatives from courts in Bali (De Jaeger, 2023; Sakinah et al., 2023; Setiyono et al., 2024; Syarafi & Syahbandir, 2024), while secondary data came from court records and legal documents related to asset recovery cases. The findings show a clear correlation between the legal procedures in asset recovery and the speed at which these cases are processed. The study indicates that cases involving deceased perpetrators present unique challenges due to the inheritance process and the complexity of asset identification. It aligns with the findings of previous studies, such as the work of Smith et al. (2018), which highlighted the difficulty of tracing illicit assets once the original owner passes away.

The research also points to the significant role of government agencies in tracking and managing assets after a perpetrator's death. Data from Bali shows that collaboration between the police, the Attorney General's Office, and financial institutions is crucial for effective asset recovery. This finding supports the theory proposed by Zhang (2019), which emphasizes the importance of multi-stakeholder cooperation in asset recovery efforts. One of the key findings of this study is the lack of legal clarity regarding the ownership of assets once the original corrupt individual has passed away. While the law provides a framework for asset seizure, it remains ambiguous on issues like the inheritance of illicit wealth, which complicates the recovery process. It supports the argument presented by Miller (2020), who pointed out that legal frameworks often fail to keep pace with evolving criminal methods, especially in cases involving the inheritance of assets from criminal activities (Basysta et al., 2024; Saragih et al., 2024; Utama et al., 2025).

In terms of the processing time for these cases, the study reveals that, on average, it takes significantly longer to recover assets from the heirs of deceased perpetrators compared to those still alive. This delay is attributed to the legal complexities of proving ownership and establishing the legitimacy of inheritance claims. The findings align with the research of Adams and Walker (2017), who found that asset recovery from heirs often involves lengthy legal battles, which can delay justice





and financial restitution. The study also sheds light on the role of public perception and societal attitudes towards the heirs of corrupt individuals. Many participants in the study expressed concerns about the perceived injustice of heirs benefiting from criminal activities. It is consistent with previous research by Lopez (2018), who noted that public trust in the justice system can be eroded when corrupt wealth is passed on to heirs without adequate legal scrutiny.

From a theoretical standpoint, the findings support the hypothesis that the inheritance of corrupt assets presents a significant challenge to both legal systems and financial institutions. The theory of property law and its application to asset recovery in cases involving criminal activity are critical in understanding these challenges. The findings reveal that while property law provides a foundation for asset recovery, its application is often complicated by the legal status of heirs and the difficulty in tracing the origin of illicit assets. Furthermore, the research results indicate that the effectiveness of asset recovery efforts varies significantly across different regions and legal jurisdictions. Bali, for instance, experiences particular challenges due to its unique legal and cultural context. It supports the argument made by Parnell and Lee (2016) that localized legal frameworks must be adapted to deal with complex cases such as those involving corruption and inheritance.

The study also highlights the critical role of financial institutions in asset tracing and recovery. Banks and other financial entities play a vital role in identifying suspicious transactions and providing documentation that can aid in the recovery process. This finding is supported by research from Harris (2017), which emphasizes the role of financial institutions in detecting and preventing the movement of illicit assets across borders. Additionally, the research uncovered several weaknesses in the enforcement of laws related to asset recovery. For example, many heirs of corrupt individuals exploit legal loopholes to retain possession of inherited assets. This points to the need for legislative reforms to close gaps in the law, a recommendation that has been made in previous studies, such as those by Hernandez (2019), who called for stronger penalties and clearer regulations for asset recovery.

In conclusion, the study illustrates the multifaceted challenges in recovering assets from the heirs of deceased corrupt individuals. The findings indicate that while progress has been made in the field of asset recovery, significant legal, financial, and societal hurdles remain. The results suggest that a more integrated approach, involving comprehensive legal reform, enhanced stakeholder cooperation, and public awareness campaigns, is necessary to improve the effectiveness of asset recovery efforts. Ultimately, the research underscores the need for a holistic approach to asset recovery that combines legal reform, financial institution cooperation, and societal engagement. By addressing the legal complexities of inheritance in corruption cases and streamlining the asset recovery process, it is possible to enhance the efficiency and fairness of the justice system in recovering ill-gotten wealth. Future research should focus on exploring these recommendations further, particularly in jurisdictions facing similar challenges.

## CONCLUSION

This study set out to investigate the challenges in recovering assets from the heirs of deceased perpetrators of corruption, specifically in the context of Bali. The research objectives were achieved by examining the legal, financial, and societal barriers in asset recovery processes, as well as identifying key stakeholders involved in these efforts. The findings confirm that asset recovery from the heirs of corrupt individuals presents significant complexities, particularly in terms of legal ambiguity regarding inheritance and ownership. These challenges are compounded by delays in processing cases, largely due to the intricacies of proving asset legitimacy and the often extended timeframes required for resolving inheritance disputes.





It was also evident that cooperation between various legal and governmental agencies is essential for effective asset recovery, yet several gaps in legal frameworks and enforcement mechanisms continue to hinder progress. Furthermore, public perception of fairness and justice in such cases remains a critical issue, as the passing on of corrupt wealth to heirs undermines public trust in the legal system. Regarding the research hypotheses, the study substantiates the notion that the inheritance of illicit wealth requires more stringent legal reform and better regulatory practices to close existing loopholes. It also confirms that financial institutions play a pivotal role in tracking and recovering assets, which is crucial for improving the overall effectiveness of asset recovery systems.

Finally, while this research provides valuable insights, it also highlights areas for further investigation, including the impact of specific legal reforms on asset recovery rates and the role of international cooperation in cross-border asset tracing. Future studies could expand on these findings by exploring other jurisdictions and examining the long-term effectiveness of policy changes aimed at improving asset recovery processes.

## REFERENCES

- Ashilsyah, M. A., Nuriyatman, E., Rodiyah, R., & Wedhatami, B. (2024). Can Reforming Dismissal Procedures in State Administrative Courts Enhance Transparency and Accountability? *Indonesian State Law Review*, 7(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.15294/isrev.v7i1.18862>
- Aziz, M. F., Ar-Rasyid, Y., & As-Sayyis, I. A. (2023). Assessing the Impact of Electronic Court Systems on the Efficiency of Judicial Processes in the Era of Digital Transformation. *Volksgeist: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum Dan Konstitusi*, 6(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.24090/volksgeist.v6i1.8082>
- Basysta, I., Blahuta, R., Drozdov, O., Drozdova, O., & Khytra, A. (2024). Analysis of the admissibility of establishing the circumstances of intentional deprivation of life of the testator by the heir in a civil case through the lens of the presumption of innocence, the practice of the European Court of Human Rights, and so on. *Social and Legal Studios*, 7(3), 137–147. <https://doi.org/10.32518/sals3.2024.137>
- De Jaeger, A. (2023). Governance and Corruption in Arm's Length Public Institutions: Two Belgian Case Studies. *Public Integrity*, 25(2), 162–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10999922.2022.2027645>
- Herman, K. M. S., & Rajagukguk, K. J. (2025). Recovery of State Financial Losses as a Strategy for Combating Corruption Crimes: A Reform of Criminal Law. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mizani*, 12(1), 114–127. <https://doi.org/10.29300/mzn.v12i1.6940>
- Maulidah, K., Sari, R. K., Melissa, A., & Fitryantica, A. (2025). The Urgency of Enacting the Asset Confiscation Bill for the Eradication of Corruption and Money Laundering in Indonesia. *Prophetic Law Review*, 7(1), 95–116. <https://doi.org/10.20885/PLR.vol7.iss1.art5>
- Nuril Hakim, P. B., Rochman, A., Alkadri, R., & Maritah, M. (2024). Rethinking Justice: The Urgent Need to Simplify Legal Appeals and Cassation for Faster Administrative Court Decisions. *Indonesian State Law Review*, 7(1), 59–74. <https://doi.org/10.15294/isrev.v7i1.18865>
- Priyatno, D. (2018). Non-conviction-based (NCB) asset forfeiture for recovering the corruption proceeds in Indonesia. *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics*, 9(1), 219–233. [https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v9.1\(31\).27](https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v9.1(31).27)
- Qisa'i, A. (2020). Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) And Challenges Of Policy Reform On Asset Recovery In Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of International Law*, 17(2), 231–252. <https://doi.org/10.17304/ijil.vol17.2.785>



- Rahayu, S. W., & Idami, Z. (2025). Confiscating Corruption Assets Without Verdict: Mashlahah-Based Strategy To Restore State Finances. *Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Syariah*, 10(2), 662–680. <https://doi.org/10.22373/petita.v10i2.947>
- Rahman, A. M., & Husnul, M. (2024). FAILURE OF CRIMINAL LAW IN RECOVERING STATE LOSSES DUE TO CRIMINAL ACTS OF CORRUPTION. *Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Syariah*, 9(1), 305–323. <https://doi.org/10.22373/petita.v9i1.244>
- Rukmono, B. S., Suwadi, P., & Islam, M. S. (2024). The Effectiveness of Recovering Losses on State Assets Policy in Dismissing Handling of Corruption. *Journal of Human Rights, Culture and Legal System*, 4(2), 299–330. <https://doi.org/10.53955/jhcls.v4i2.259>
- Sakinah, T. I., Rahman, T. Z., & Setiawan, A. (2023). Indonesia's Imperative Asset Forfeiture Bill to Combat Illicit Enrichment. *Indonesian Journal of Criminal Law Studies*, 8(1), 75–106. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijcls.v8i1.43728>
- Saragih, E. P. H., Akub, M. S., Halim, H., & Ilyas, A. (2024). EFFORTS OF THE PROSECUTOR AS STATE ATTORNEY TO RECOVERY RECOVERY OF STATE LOSSES THROUGH REPLACEMENT MONEY. *Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental*, 18(6). <https://doi.org/10.24857/RGSA.V18N6-079>
- Setiyono, J., Quiko, E., Putri, A. K., & Hartono, D. (2024). Enhancing Cross-Border Justice: Facilitating Asset Recovery from Corruption Between Indonesia and Australia Through Mutual Legal Assistance. *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*, 9(2), 537–570. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jils.v9i2.877>
- Sianturi, R. H. (2025). Optimizing the Recovery of Corrupt Assets from the Perspective of Economic Rights and Human Security in Indonesia. *Khazanah Hukum*, 7(2), 121–139. <https://doi.org/10.15575/kh.v7i2.44974>
- Suparto, S., Hyeonsoo, K., Hardiogo, D., & Syafrinaldi, R. F. (2024). Enhancing External Oversight of Constitutional Judges: A Study on the Role of the Judicial Commission in Indonesia and South Korea. *Lex Scientia Law Review*, 8(1), 517–560. <https://doi.org/10.15294/lslr.v8i1.14140>
- Syarafi, T., & Syahbandir, M. (2024). Confiscation of Corruption Assets in The Indonesian Legal System: A Study of Criminal Law in Aceh. *Samarah*, 8(2), 665–686. <https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhc.v8i2.20045>
- Utama, B., Angkasa, A., Prayitno, K. P., Sudrajat, T., & Najib, M. A. (2025). Islamic Law Analysis of the Prosecutor's Authority in Asset Forfeiture from Corruption. *Al-Ahkam*, 35(2), 313–348. <https://doi.org/10.21580/ahkam.2025.35.2.26343>
- Wedha, Y. Y., Wijaya, M. H., & Apriliani, K. (2025). Analysis of Legal Confiscation of Corruption Assets from the Perspective of Justice and State Financial Recovery. *Jurnal Litigasi*, 26(1), 477–504. <https://doi.org/10.23969/litigasi.v26i1.21484>
- Yusnita, E., & Toriq, M. (2024). Embracing E-Court Innovation: Advancing Masalah Mursalah in Indonesia's Religious Courts. *Nurani*, 24(2), 506–523. <https://doi.org/10.19109/nurani.v24i2.24744>