

## EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT FOR NARCOTICS INVESTIGATORS IN PREVENTING NARCOTICS CRIMES IN DENPASAR

I Nyoman Saprayodi<sup>1</sup>, Ni Made Jaya Senastri<sup>2</sup>, Simon Nahak<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Master of Laws Study Program, Postgraduate Faculty, Warmadewa University, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: I Nyoman Saprayodi

E-Mail: saprayodi@gmail.com

### Info Artikel:

Submit: 2026-01-14

Revised: 2026-02-19

Accepted: 2026-03-18

Vol: 4

Number: 3

Page: 204 - 209

### Keywords:

Internal Oversight, Narcotics Investigators, Law Enforcement, Legal Structure, Police Governance

### Abstract:

This study aims to analyze the effectiveness of internal oversight mechanisms for narcotics investigators in preventing narcotics crimes within the Denpasar Police jurisdiction. The research addresses issues concerning the adequacy of supervisory structures, regulatory substance, and organizational culture in supporting integrity and accountability in narcotics law enforcement. This study employs empirical legal research with a socio-juridical approach, using primary data collected through interviews and field observations, complemented by analysis of relevant statutory regulations and institutional documents. The findings indicate that internal oversight mechanisms have functioned in improving professional conduct and supporting preventive efforts; however, several challenges remain. These challenges include overlapping investigative authority, ambiguities in regulatory provisions, and internal organizational culture that may influence supervisory effectiveness. The study further reveals that preventive and corrective measures must be integrated systematically to ensure consistent oversight. It concludes that strengthening coordination, clarifying regulatory frameworks, and enhancing institutional integrity are essential to improve the overall effectiveness of internal oversight and to support sustainable prevention of narcotics crimes within the Denpasar Police jurisdiction.

## INTRODUCTION

Narcotics crimes represent a persistent challenge to criminal justice systems, particularly in urban jurisdictions where drug distribution networks operate in complex and adaptive forms (Firmansyah et al., 2023; Krismen, 2019). Law enforcement institutions are required not only to conduct effective investigations but also to maintain institutional integrity in carrying out their authority. In this context, internal oversight plays a fundamental role in ensuring that investigative powers are exercised in accordance with legal standards and ethical obligations (Lyman, 2006). The effectiveness of narcotics law enforcement, therefore, depends not only on operational capacity but also on governance mechanisms within the institution. From a governance perspective, internal oversight functions as an accountability instrument designed to supervise performance, prevent misconduct, and ensure compliance with procedural law. Oversight mechanisms are closely related to institutional transparency, integrity, and administrative control. In the broader framework of public governance, effective supervision contributes to strengthening public trust and preventing abuse of authority (Holligan et al., 2020; Rajaei et al., 2013; Tajuddin et al., 2025). Without strong internal control systems, the credibility of law enforcement efforts in combating narcotics crimes may be weakened.





Previous research on narcotics law enforcement has primarily examined investigative effectiveness, prosecutorial performance, and crime suppression strategies. Meanwhile, studies on police governance have tended to focus on external oversight institutions, reform initiatives, or public accountability mechanisms. However, limited attention has been given to evaluating the effectiveness of internal oversight mechanisms specifically directed at narcotics investigators within a defined jurisdiction. This gap indicates the need for empirical analysis connecting internal governance structures with crime prevention outcomes. Internal oversight in narcotics investigations presents challenges due to the high-risk nature of drug-related cases. Investigators often operate under significant pressure and exposure to organized criminal networks. These conditions increase the importance of structured and systematic supervision to prevent internal deviations. Weaknesses in oversight structures, unclear regulatory frameworks, and organizational culture factors may reduce supervisory effectiveness and create vulnerabilities within the institution (Lasmadi, 2020).

In the Denpasar Police jurisdiction, internal oversight mechanisms are formally established through hierarchical supervision, inspection systems, and disciplinary procedures (Bonning & Cleaver, 2021; Robinson et al., 2019; Tarkhanyan, 2013). Nevertheless, practical challenges remain, including overlapping investigative authority, regulatory ambiguities, and cultural solidarity within the institution that may influence objectivity in supervision. These structural, substantive, and cultural dimensions form an essential context for assessing the actual effectiveness of internal oversight. The state-of-the-art discourse on law enforcement governance emphasizes the integration of legal structure, legal substance, and legal culture in evaluating institutional performance. While normative frameworks provide formal guidelines for supervision, empirical assessment is necessary to determine whether these frameworks function effectively in practice. This study positions itself within this governance-based analytical framework to examine how internal oversight operates in preventing narcotics crimes (Kusumo et al., 2025; Zare et al., 2025).

Accordingly, this research identifies a gap between normative supervisory arrangements and their empirical implementation in narcotics investigations. Although internal oversight mechanisms exist formally, their actual effectiveness in strengthening prevention efforts and maintaining investigator integrity requires systematic evaluation. This gap forms the basis of the research issue addressed in this study. Based on these considerations, this study seeks to answer the following research questions: how effective are internal oversight mechanisms in supervising narcotics investigators within the Denpasar Police jurisdiction, and what structural, substantive, and cultural factors influence their effectiveness in preventing narcotics crimes? By addressing these questions, this research contributes to governance-oriented scholarship and supports the development of accountable and effective narcotics law enforcement practices (Akhmad et al., 2023; Danopoulos, 2016; Gulati et al., 2023; Romaniuk et al., 2024).

## METHODS

This study employs empirical legal research with a socio-juridical approach to examine the effectiveness of internal oversight mechanisms over narcotics investigators. The research was conducted within the Denpasar Police jurisdiction, focusing on the Narcotics Investigation Unit as the primary object of analysis. The research subjects consisted of narcotics investigators, supervisory officials, and relevant institutional personnel selected purposively based on their involvement in investigative and supervisory processes. Primary data were obtained through in-depth interviews and field observations, while secondary data were collected from statutory regulations, internal supervisory guidelines, institutional documents, and relevant academic literature. The collected





data were analyzed using qualitative juridical-analytical methods, integrating statutory interpretation with governance analysis to assess the effectiveness of internal oversight in relation to legal structure, legal substance, and legal culture dimensions.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that internal oversight mechanisms within the Denpasar Police jurisdiction formally operate through hierarchical supervision, periodic performance evaluations, and internal inspection procedures. Field data reveal that supervisory officials regularly conduct case monitoring and administrative reviews of narcotics investigations. These mechanisms are designed to ensure procedural compliance and prevent deviations in investigative practices. In normative terms, the structure reflects a formal commitment to accountability within the institution. However, empirical findings show that the effectiveness of internal oversight is influenced by structural limitations (Rahayu et al., 2020). Overlapping authority between supervisory units and investigative divisions occasionally creates ambiguity in responsibility allocation. Such structural overlap may reduce clarity in decision-making and weaken supervisory intervention. Previous governance studies similarly highlight that unclear institutional mandates can limit the effectiveness of internal control systems in law enforcement agencies (Lisdiyono & Mulyani, 2023; Zhaltyrbayeva et al., 2025).

From the perspective of legal substance, the regulatory framework governing internal oversight provides general guidelines but lacks detailed operational standards for supervising narcotics investigations. Interviews with supervisory personnel indicate that implementation often depends on discretionary interpretation rather than clearly standardized procedures. This condition corresponds with earlier research suggesting that regulatory ambiguity may affect consistency in internal supervision practices. The study further identifies challenges related to legal culture within the institution. Organizational solidarity and hierarchical loyalty sometimes influence the objectivity of supervisory evaluations. Informants noted that reluctance to report colleagues or escalate minor procedural violations may reduce preventive oversight effectiveness. This cultural dimension aligns with governance theory, emphasizing that legal culture significantly shapes institutional performance.

Despite these limitations, the findings also demonstrate positive contributions of internal oversight to crime prevention efforts. Supervisory monitoring has strengthened compliance with procedural law and improved documentation standards in narcotics investigations. Investigators reported that periodic evaluations encourage adherence to legal protocols, thereby minimizing procedural errors that could compromise case outcomes. It supports prior research indicating that structured supervision enhances professional accountability. In addition, internal oversight mechanisms contribute to the early detection of procedural irregularities. Supervisory reviews enable corrective measures before cases progress to the prosecution stages. This preventive function reflects an integrated governance approach, where oversight operates not only reactively but also proactively. Comparative studies on police governance similarly emphasize the importance of preventive supervisory frameworks in strengthening institutional integrity.

Nevertheless, field data suggest that workload pressures and limited supervisory resources may constrain oversight effectiveness. Supervisory officials often manage multiple responsibilities, reducing the depth of case evaluations. This limitation corresponds with findings in previous empirical research showing that resource constraints directly affect the quality of institutional supervision. The interaction between legal structure, legal substance, and legal culture significantly determines overall oversight performance. While formal structures exist and regulatory frameworks





provide basic guidance, cultural factors and operational pressures shape actual implementation. The integration of these three dimensions confirms the relevance of a systemic legal analysis in evaluating institutional effectiveness.

Overall, the study demonstrates that internal oversight mechanisms in the Denpasar Police jurisdiction contribute to preventing narcotics crimes by strengthening procedural compliance and institutional accountability. However, structural overlaps, regulatory ambiguities, and cultural influences limit optimal performance. Enhancing coordination, clarifying operational standards, and fostering an integrity-based institutional culture are necessary to improve the overall effectiveness of internal oversight in narcotics law enforcement. Another important finding concerns the coordination between internal oversight units and external accountability mechanisms. Field data indicate that although internal supervision functions independently, communication with external oversight bodies remains limited and procedural. This separation may reduce opportunities for integrated accountability and cross-institutional evaluation. Previous governance studies emphasize that effective oversight systems require coordination between internal and external supervisory structures to strengthen transparency and institutional credibility.

The study also reveals that preventive training and ethical reinforcement programs play a supporting role in strengthening internal oversight. Supervisory officials reported that continuous professional development and integrity-based training help investigators understand procedural boundaries and ethical responsibilities. These preventive educational measures complement formal inspection mechanisms. Research on institutional governance similarly indicates that oversight effectiveness increases when supported by integrity-building initiatives rather than relying solely on disciplinary enforcement. In terms of performance outcomes, interview data suggest that improved supervision has contributed to better case documentation quality and reduced procedural violations in narcotics investigations. Investigators acknowledged that awareness of supervisory review encourages greater caution and compliance with procedural standards. This finding aligns with prior empirical research showing that consistent monitoring enhances rule-based decision-making and minimizes discretionary abuse in law enforcement operations.

Finally, the study highlights the need for systematic evaluation indicators to measure oversight effectiveness more objectively. Currently, performance assessments rely largely on administrative review and qualitative judgment. The absence of standardized performance benchmarks may limit the capacity to evaluate supervisory impact comprehensively. Governance-oriented scholarship consistently stresses that measurable evaluation frameworks are essential to ensure accountability, consistency, and continuous institutional improvement in law enforcement systems.

## CONCLUSION

This study concludes that internal oversight mechanisms within the Denpasar Police jurisdiction play a significant role in strengthening accountability and supporting the prevention of narcotics crimes. The existence of formal supervisory structures, inspection procedures, and hierarchical control demonstrates an institutional commitment to maintaining investigative integrity. These mechanisms contribute to improving procedural compliance and reinforcing professional responsibility among narcotics investigators. However, the effectiveness of internal oversight is influenced by structural overlaps, regulatory ambiguities, and organizational cultural factors that may limit optimal performance. Although supervisory frameworks are normatively established, their practical implementation requires clearer operational standards, stronger coordination, and reinforcement of integrity-based institutional values. Strengthening these





dimensions is essential to ensure that internal oversight functions consistently and effectively in preventing narcotics crimes. Future research may explore comparative evaluations of internal and external oversight systems in law enforcement institutions or develop measurable performance indicators to assess supervisory effectiveness more objectively. Such studies would contribute to advancing governance-based approaches to institutional accountability and enhancing sustainable narcotics crime prevention strategies.

## REFERENCES

- Akhmad, A., Fernando, Z. J., & Teeraphan, P. (2023). Unmasking Illicit Enrichment: A Comparative Analysis of Wealth Acquisition Under Indonesian, Thai and Islamic Law. *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*, 8(2), 899–934. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jils.v8i2.69332>
- Bonning, J., & Cleaver, K. (2021). "There is no "war on drugs"": An investigation into county line drug networks from the perspective of a London borough. *Police Journal*, 94(4), 443–461. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032258X20956682>
- Danopoulos, C. P. (2016). Cultural attributes and legal "No-Man 's-land" in Greece. *Journal of Political and Military Sociology*, 44, 3–24. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85002944987&partnerID=40&md5=345184f866ef8285579a5ae20a67c57b>
- Firmansyah, A., Widodo, H., & Mamang, D. (2023). TINJAUAN HUKUM IMPLEMENTASI DISKRESI KEPOLISIAN DALAM PENYIDIKAN TINDAK PIDANA NARKOTIKA. *Veritas*, 8(2), 127–142. <https://doi.org/10.34005/veritas.v8i2.2066>
- Gulati, G., Cusack, A., Murphy, V., Kelly, B. D., Kilcommins, S., & Dunne, C. P. (2023). The evaluation of a training course to enhance intellectual disability awareness amongst law enforcement officers: a pilot study. *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 40(4), 629–633. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ipm.2021.80>
- Holligan, C., McLean, R., & McHugh, R. (2020). Exploring County Lines: Criminal Drug Distribution Practices in Scotland. *Youth Justice*, 20(1–2), 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473225420902850>
- Krismen, Y. (2019). Polri (Indonesian police dept) investigator position in accordance with criminal code procedures on narcotics crime law enforcement. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 10(4), 87–108. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85079693884&partnerID=40&md5=06e9c22f8f9fb7f4a5805714de715fd8>
- Kusumo, B. A., Rustambekovich, R. I., Nusratilloevich, Y. A., & Kamolovich, X. B. (2025). Corporate Crime Prevention Through Sustainable Governance and Regulatory Reform. *Journal of Sustainable Development and Regulatory Issues*, 3(3), 616–640. <https://doi.org/10.53955/jsderi.v3i3.168>
- Lasmadi, S. (2020). Issuance of a Search Warrant for Narcotics Crime in Indonesia. *Law Reform: Jurnal Pembaharuan Hukum*, 16(1), 45–57. <https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v16i1.30304>
- Lisdiyono, E., & Mulyani, S. (2023). LEGAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC OUTLOOK OF WASTE BANK: ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH ASSESSMENT IN SUSTAINABLE HOUSEHOLD WASTE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES. *Corporate Law and Governance Review*, 5(2 Special Issue), 249–257. <https://doi.org/10.22495/clgrv5i2sip12>
- Lyman, M. D. (2006). Practical drug enforcement. In *Practical Drug Enforcement*. Taylor and Francis Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420009835>
- Rahayu, D. P., Sari, R., & Satrio, N. (2020). Law Enforcement in the Context of Legal Culture in Society. *Law Reform: Jurnal Pembaharuan Hukum*, 16(2), 276–289.





<https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v16i2.33780>

- Rajae, L., Rodriguez, J. M., Addison, L. S., Readio, S., & Longwood, W. (2013). Law Enforcement Advocate Program: An Interagency Collaboration to Enhance Community-Police Relations and Improve Outcomes for Substance-Using Offenders. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal*, 64(2), 17-33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfcj.12004>
- Robinson, G., McLean, R., & Densley, J. (2019). Working County Lines: Child Criminal Exploitation and Illicit Drug Dealing in Glasgow and Merseyside. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 63(5), 694-711. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X18806742>
- Romaniuk, M., Kovalenko, S., Chernysh, M., Tovpyha, L., & Kupchenia, L. (2024). POLICY AND ROLE OF THE CRIMINAL POLICE IN COMBATING ENVIRONMENTAL CRIMES. *Journal of Environmental Law and Policy*, 4(3), 20-48. <https://doi.org/10.33002/jelp040302>
- Tajuddin, R. R. M., Ismail, N., Kamaluddin, M. R., Nordin, A. A. A., & Firdaus-Raih, M. (2025). Imbalance in spatiotemporal analysis of narcotics-related activities: a bibliometric review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04376-z>
- Tarkhanyan, L. (2013). Drug crime and the urban mosaic: The locational choices of drug crime in relation to high streets, bars, schools and hospitals. In K. Y.O., P. H.T., & S. K.W. (Eds.), 2013 *International Space Syntax Symposium*. Sejong University Press. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85006274147&partnerID=40&md5=1b18f14723f79657970a99b14b09bab5>
- Zare, H., Gilmore, D. R., Balsara, K., Pargas, C. R., Valek, R., Ponce, A. N., Masoudi, N., Spencer, M., Warren, T. Y., & Crifasi, C. (2025). State-by-State Review: The Spread of Law Enforcement Accountability Policies. *Social Sciences*, 14(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci14080483>
- Zhaltyrbayeva, R., Jangabulova, A., Suleimenova, S., Saimova, S., & Tlembayeva, Z. (2025). Legal challenges of regulating artificial intelligence in law enforcement, taking into account the interdisciplinary approach to socio-legal transformations. *Social and Legal Studios*, 8(2), 118-130. <https://doi.org/10.32518/sals2.2025.118>

