

## AIR CONTAMINATION AND ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FROM SOUTH AFRICA'S HIGH ENERGY CONSUMPTION INDUSTRIES

Thomas Nyahuna<sup>1</sup>, Mishelle Doorasamy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Accounting, Economics and Finance, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Department of Financial Accounting, School of Accounting, Economics, and Finance, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

Corresponding author: Thomas Nyahuna

E-mail: [thomasnyahuna@yahoo.com](mailto:thomasnyahuna@yahoo.com)

Volume: 7

Number: 3

Page: 615 - 625

### Article History:

Received: 2026-01-25

Revised: 2026-03-14

Accepted: 2026-28-04

### Abstract:

Globally, South Africa is currently amongst the countries with the poorest air pollution. Recently, organization ecological information disclosure has become a progressively more prevalent method for ecological by-laws. However, at the moment, there is an absence of empirical confirmation on the organization ecological information disclosure effects of air contamination. This research investigates the relationship between air contamination and organization ecological information disclosure, applying cross-sectional data gathered from listed South African companies in high energy consumption sectors from 2015 to 2021. The findings disclose that the link between air contamination and organization ecological information disclosure is substantially adverse. The Northern province has a huge effect on the organization's ecological information disclosure in comparison with the Eastern province. Furthermore, the effect air contamination has on an organization's ecological information disclosure also varies in companies situated in provinces with various levels of corporate ecological information disclosure transparency. This study makes available a portrait of the interaction between air contamination and ecological information disclosure expansion in emerging economies such as South Africa.

**Keywords:** Air Contamination, Ecological Information Disclosure, Johannesburg Stock Exchange, South Africa

## INTRODUCTION

South Africa has attained outstanding economic progress since attaining independence in 1994. On the other hand, the economic growth has brought heavy ecological contamination, particularly air contamination, making it a matter that cannot be overlooked in South Africa (Wasara & Ganda, 2019). A number of scholarly research studies have disclosed that severe air contamination has enormous harmful effects on human life and production, leading to massive welfare loss (Acar & Temiz, 2020; Passetti et al., 2018; Mathuva & Kiweu, 2016). In this context, the South African government and the public have been acutely disturbed by the likely effects of air contamination. It renders the effects of air contamination a hot topic.

Emerging economies such as South Africa largely rely on fossil fuels through high-energy consumption sectors. Altieri and Keen (2016) report that South Africa depends on coal for "97% of its primary energy". Hence, the South Africa Air Quality Index presently displays a Particulate Matter (PM) 2.5 level for the country (Altieri & Keen, 2016). It is 1.4 times the range accepted by the World Health Organization. It calls for South Africa to put measures to ensure a decline in air contamination so as to reduce health losses. One such measure is the ecological information disclosure in annual corporate reports.



Environmental administration through information disclosure was found to be another approach to "command and control mode of environmental regulation" (Zhang & Xie, 2020). The speedy development of information technology has fast-tracked this environmental information in emerging markets, including South Africa, through lessening information communication costs (Liu, 2020; Cho & Patten, 2013). The South African government has, of late, been at the forefront to inspire organizations to adopt environmental information disclosure. For instance, in 2014, recently reviewed environmental laws brought up regulations to resolve matters linked to environmental contamination.

The numerous studies clearly acknowledge the ecological gains from corporate environmental information disclosure. For instance, Belhaj and Damak-Ayadi (2011) discovered a positive connection between ecological performance and corporate ecological information disclosure. Furthermore, extant literature argues that disclosure also has significant strategic importance for corporate sustainability, namely improved communal status and enticing new investment (Zeng et al., 2010; D'Amico et al., 2016; Fondevila et al., 2019).

The repercussions of air contamination have garnered considerable focus lately. Despite several scholars having evaluated the impacts of air contamination, research on company behavior remains inadequate and restricted. Specifically, research on the impact air contamination has on corporate environmental information disclosure is insufficient (Lin et al., 2021; Artene et al., 2020). Therefore, this paper aims to extend the literature by investigating the impact of air contamination on corporate environmental information disclosure of listed high-energy consumption industries in South Africa. In this case, this paper empirically evaluates whether companies lean towards disclosing more ecological information in highly polluted regions.

**Literature Review and Hypothesis Development.** The key justification for corporate environmental information disclosure includes legitimacy theory and stakeholder theory. The nexus between air contamination and organization ecological information disclosure can be described by stakeholder theory. The stakeholder theory underlines that stakeholders like the government and the public are important to corporate survival. Tzouvanas et al (2020) and Sun et al. (2019) accentuate that organizations adopt environmental information disclosure to disclose their approach and spending on ecological conservation to interested parties to acquire their confidence and support.

As air contamination gets worse, the government, which is mainly mandated with ecological administration, is stimulated to enhance air quality due to environmental performance evaluation by the various stakeholders. Therefore, critical air contamination culminates in greater governmental concerns, which may substantially influence environmental information disclosure in South Africa. Also, the citizenry's request for better air quality is robust, and worries regarding environmental information disclosure are also amplifying. As noted by the stakeholder theory, organizations may achieve more reliance and backing by employing ecological information disclosure. As a result, in areas with critical air contamination, the organization may opt to reveal additional ecological information to handle pressure from the stakeholders.

H1: The nexus between air contamination and organization ecological information disclosure can be positive

Nevertheless, it must be acknowledged that the government can achieve a compromise between economic development and ecological conservation. In case of facing the predicament, the government at all times selects economic performance over environmental protection. In other areas with higher air contamination, the government may be more worried about economic expansion and tax proceeds gotten from heavy pollution companies, exposing the environment to be unattended. Consequently, likely, the high air contamination is frequently the outcome of implicit



endorsement by the government. Additionally, highly polluted areas are oftentimes characterised by companies with high environmental contamination. The revelation of contamination information might convey opposing impacts, hence high energy consumption companies ought to discriminatingly reveal or reducing the quality of ecological information. Generally, in high-contaminating zones, heavily polluting companies possess substandard ecological administration, leading to an inferior class of ecological information disclosure. Taking into account the evaluation above, this research proposes the following hypothesis:

H2: The nexus between air contamination and organization ecological information disclosure can be adverse

**METHODS**

**Sample.** This study focuses on all high-energy consumption sectors on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange based on the fact that high-energy consumption sectors are the chief contributors to air contamination (D'Amico et al., 2016). Also, numerous scholars have indicated that the quality of environmental information disclosure in high energy consumption sectors is greatly in excess of other industries (Pein, 2020; Belhaj & Damak-Ayadi, 2011; Brooks & Oikonomou, 2018; Mahenna & Dorweiler, 2004). In this study, high energy consumption sectors encompass 11 types, namely cement, mining, metal processors, etc.

The sample involves data from 2015 to 2021. The research uses data from 2015, as the air contamination data quantified by the air quality index (AQI) are offered from 2015. Earlier to 2015, Wasara and Ganda (2019) posit that "the air pollution index (API) was designed to quantify air quality by the South African government". The AQI and API mirrors divergent characteristics and are yet extremely interconnected (Fonseka et al., 2019). For easiness, the researchers of this study only used AQI to act as the basis to quantify air contamination or air quality.

The study's data are largely acquired from: (1) data on environmental information disclosure emerged from the annual integrated reports based on content analysis, (2) data on air contamination is gathered from the Ministry of Environmental Affairs in South Africa's website, and (3) data for control variables originates from the JSE. The data are additionally vetted as follows: companies without adequate data on air contamination or some control variables. Lastly, in total, 345 firms were used, with 2415 firm-years.

**Variables, Dependent Variable.** Ecological information disclosure, which projects the business ecological information disclosure, is designated as the study's dependent variable. In line with Mol et al. (2011), the researchers developed the environmental information disclosure index based on the disclosure underpinning grounded on the content analysis from annual integrated reports, environmental and sustainability reports. Accounts of "disclosure level, quantity information disclosure, non-amount disclosure and no information disclosure are given values of 3, 2, 1 and 0, respectively" (Mol et al., 2011). The researchers lastly condensed the available amounts of every single proxy to get the following ecological information disclosure index.

$$EIDit = \sum_{i=1}^n eidijt$$

Where EIDit is the sum of ecological information disclosure of a company i in year t. Eidijt shows the amounts of proxy j. In this case, j involves data spanning 1 to 10, signifying that 10 objects of ecological information disclosure exist in this study. Greater EIDit means greater quality of environmental information disclosure. To borrow from Iatridis (2013), the researchers also split environmental information disclosure into "hard and soft environmental information disclosure".



Table 1 indicates the subjects of ecological information disclosure, where objects "1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8" have its place in "hard" ecological information disclosure and "soft" ecological information disclosure covers objects "4, 5, 9". "Hard" ecological information disclosure constantly denotes numerical data and "soft" ecological information is non-numeric data.

**Table 1.** Assessment indexes of ecological information disclosure

Object	Content	Nature
1	Ecological conservation investment	Hard
2	Tax decrease linked to ecological protection	Hard
3	Toxic emission and its decline	Hard
4	Information linked to the ISO scheme	Soft
5	Extent to increase the eco-environment	Soft
6	The impact of governmental conservation rules	Hard
7	Loans linked to ecological management	Hard
8	Ecology linked litigation, reward, fines and awards	Hard
9	Ecological management vision and matters	Soft
10	Supplementary matters of the environment linked incoming and outgoings	Hard

**Independent Variable.** As revealed earlier, the independent variable of the study is the Air Quality Index (AQI) to act as a proxy of air contamination. The AQI is developed at the center of "six atmospheric pollutants, including ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), suspended particulates smaller than 10 and 2.5 mm in the aerodynamic diameter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>)" (Hassan & Romilly, 2018). In addition, larger volumes of AQI imply lower air quality, meaning higher air contamination.

**Control Variables.** Given that ecological information disclosure is influenced by several aspects, control variables are chosen with particular reference to contemporary literature. This study adopts firm size, return on equity (ROE), years listed on JSE, net profit margin (NPM), number of board directors and leverage as control variables. The size of the board of directors is a critical factor in epitomizing company administration. Company size is projected by the sum of total sales. ROE is defined as "the measure of a company's net income divided by its shareholders' equity" (Wasara & Ganda, 2019). Put differently, ROE measures a firm's profitability and in what way it generates those incomes. NPM is known as the quantification of net income in relation to revenue. Hassan and Romilly (2018) view leverage as "the ratio of a company's loan capital (debt) to the value of its ordinary shares (equity); gearing".

**Model Specification.** In testing the association between air contamination and ecological information disclosure, this research figures the following regression model.

$$EIDit = B_0 + B_1 + AQI_{it} + \sum C_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where I represent numerous companies, it is time, EID is the dependent variable proxied by the quality of ecological information disclosure. AQI is the independent variable signifying air quality. C it characterizes control variables,  $\epsilon_{it}$  represents the random error.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

**Descriptive Analysis.** Given that environmental information disclosure is influenced by several factors, control variables are chosen with particular reference to contemporary literature.



This study adopts firm size, return on equity (ROE), years listed on JSE, net profit margin (NPM), number of board directors and leverage as control variables. The size of the board of directors is a critical factor in epitomizing corporate governance.

**Table 2.** Descriptive analysis

Variable	Min	Max	Mean	S.D.	N
Environmental information disclosure	0	19	12.16	5.454	2415
Hard environmental information disclosure	0	21	9.180	4.297	2415
Soft environmental information disclosure	0	8	1.752	0.915	2415
Log of air quality index	3.215	6.772	4.821	1.653	2415
Total sales (log)	2.562	9.301	4.028	2.904	2415
Return on equity	-0.067	0.044	0.043	0.073	2415
Years listed on JSE	0	26	12.56	5.821	2415
Net profit margin	-5.862	32.01	0.271	1.097	2415
Number of board members	0	14	6.751	2.976	2415
Leverage	-0.786	0.863	0.051	0.071	2415

Table 2 depicts the descriptive analysis of the variables. The maximum rate of environmental information disclosure is 19; the least value is 0, and the mean value is 12.16. It submits that the general quality of environmental information disclosure remains comparatively low in South Africa's heavy polluting companies. Furthermore, it is observed that a large number of companies disclose their ecological information in the annual report. The subject of ecological information is dispersed and mostly qualitative. It shows the absence of ecological information systems within South African companies to integrate ecological information fully. Hence, it is vital to normalize corporate environmental information disclosure behavior, in so doing, enhancing the genuineness and quality of environmental information (Patten, 2002). Additionally, the mean amount of AQI is 4.821 signifies that the air class massively enriched after ecological administration.

**Correlation Analysis.** With the intention of testing the connection between the variables, the researchers perform a correlation coefficient examination with the Pearson correlation coefficient. As per Table 3, the class of ecological information disclosure and air contamination proxy by air quality index is adversely connected at a 0.1 level. This class of ecological information disclosure is also considerably linked to firm size, net profit margin, and leverage.

**Table 3.** Correlation coefficients of main variables

	EID	AQI	Size	ROE	Years	NPM	Board
AQI	-0.0165*						
Size	0.0720*	-0.1538*					
ROE	-0.1432	0.1647	0.0085				
Years	0.0663	0.2276*	0.5218*	0.1188*			
NPM	0.0888*	0.0666*	0.3675*	0.0987	-0.0987		
Board	-0.8765	-0.0326*	-0.0876	0.9853*	-0.0985	0.0875*	
Leverage	0.0774*	0.0897*	0.0128	0.0997	-0.0544	0.2786*	0.6543*

Note: \* specifies the significance at the 0.1 level.

We expect companies situated in cities with critical pollution to reveal more ecological information for ecological administration. Conversely, companies situated in high polluting cities reveal less, regrettably. The imaginable explanations consist of earlier findings by researchers that reveal environmental information at times can culminate in negative effects on the corporate



performance, such as revealing information about penalties. Due to South Africa's present environmental information system's imperfectness, several polluting companies opt to reveal environmental information one-sidedly or choose to avoid revealing it. As stated, the high pollution can be the outcome of implicit endorsement by the government. Generally, in high polluting regions, heavily polluting companies exercise inferior environmental administration, contributing to the outcome of lesser quality environmental information disclosure.

In the midst of the study's control variables, firm size is considerably positive, meaning that bigger companies appear to reveal more environmental information. Net profit margin and leverage both have a positive association with environmental information disclosure. Higher NPM and leverage tend to motivate firms to disclose environmental information more due to the availability of resources. On the other hand, ROE and the number of board members show a negative influence, though not significant, on environmental information disclosure. The possible explanation is that shareholders can misuse specific rights to avoid environmental liability and also that a bigger board of directors contributes to difficult communication, disturbing decisions and monitoring competence.

**Heterogeneous Assessments, Heterogeneous Impacts of Various Provinces.** This study's sample was divided by the region where the company is located. The regions were categorized into two for the study: Eastern and Northern. As exhibited in Table 4, the air contamination in Northern Provinces shows more effect on company ecological information disclosure in comparison to the Eastern region. The possible explanation is that both regions vary in environmental bylaws and financial performance. Therefore, companies in the Northern region lean towards decreasing the class of ecological information disclosure compared with the Eastern region.

**Heterogeneous Effects of Ownership Type.** In South Africa, ownership type is a critical aspect determining business ecological information disclosure. Ecological administration is perceived to impose a huge effect on government entities as "state-owned entities are regularly used as a pilot for implementing new laws" (Liu & Anbumozhi, 2009). The empirical findings in Table 4 reflect that a significant negative link between air contamination and ecological information exists in both government and private entities. In addition, the impacts of air contamination are somewhat identical.

**Heterogeneous Influence of Ecological Information Transparency.** The association between air contamination and corporate ecological information disclosure varies significantly as environmental circumstances change. A good system is a key determinant of an organization's ecological information disclosure, encompassing "policies of government regulation". Therefore, province-size contamination information disclosure can drive the effect of air contamination on ecological information disclosure. In this study, the researchers applied the pollution data management index (PDMI) to quantify province-level disclosure, availed by the Ministry of Environmental Affairs.

The study divides the entire sample into high PDMI and low PDMI. The PDMI is assembled to analyze the execution of the measures by the government (Wasara & Ganda, 2019). The findings show that the coefficient of AQI is critically inverse-related in both provinces. Conversely, the air contamination in the provinces showing high PDMI has a larger effect on environmental information disclosure in comparison with low PDMI regions. It is perhaps explained by the view that once the domestic environmental laws are weaker, companies are at a reduced rate put under government monitoring and stakeholder pressure and as a result, less impacted by air contamination.



**Table 4.** Heterogeneity evaluation

Variable	Northern	Western	Stated-owned	Private	High PDMI	Low PDMI
AQI	-6.701*** (0.937)	-5.274*** (0.925)	-4.0534 (0.971)	-4.772 (0.964)	-7.179 (0.813)	-6.098 (0.712)
Size	2.245* (0.254)	0.000311 (0.0745)	-0.0112 (0.0786)	-1.983 (0.0982)	-0.1001 (0.0887)	-0.0235 (0.099)
ROE	0.187*** (0.862)	-0.0832*** (0.0106)	-0.0887*** (0.0231)	-0.0721*** (0.0431)	-0.0981*** (0.0241)	-0.0771*** (0.0998)
Years	-0.691*** (0.871)	0.1187 (0.432)	-0.275 (0.297)	-0.942 (0.871)	-0.376** (0.337)	-0.0986 (0.251)
NPM	-1.731 (3.991)	2.776* (1.443)	5.791** (3.665)	-1.902 (3.512)	-5.887 (4.667)	5.841 (4.889)
Board	0.952 (4.772)	1.889 (1.998)	4.442** (1.662)	-1.320 (5.221)	-3.662 (4.661)	3.992 (4.882)
Leverage	0.093 (0.022)	0.078 (0.010)	0.0852 (0.0772)	0.0193 (0.0224)	0.0109 (0.0982)	0.0992 (0.0421)
Constant	21.70***	32.73***	27.92***	31.52***	23.17***	31.76***
Observations	1785	886	1210	975	1200	1340
R-squared	0.301	0.213	0.189	0.291	0.219	0.217
F statistic	10.69***	10.33***	10.22***	10.09***	9.85***	8.52***

Note: \*\*\*, \*\* and \* specify the significance at 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1 levels.

**Robustness Analysis, Swapping of Predictor Variable.** Fine Particulate Matter (PM2.5) is commonly applied to quantify air contamination in prior studies. So, we substitute the predictor variable AQI with PM2.5. The control variable remains unchanged. The researchers observe that air contamination measured by PM2.5 actually has substantial negative effects on ecological information disclosure, indicating that our regression findings are robust.

**Table 5.** Robustness analysis

Variable	Swapping Predictor Variable	Swapping Predicted Variable		Ecological Law	Lag For One Period	GMM
	EID	EIDH	EIDS	EID	EID	EID
AQI		3.662*** (0.524)	-1.987*** (0.112)	-4.221*** (0.432)	-4.075*** (0.752)	-2.873** (0.882)
PM 2.5	-1.652*** (0.122)					
Size	1.352*** (0.443)	2.112*** (0.523)	0.611*** (0.0861)	1.914*** (0.201)	1.881*** (0.981)	0.977 (0.115)
ROE	0.0887 (0.122)	0.0872 (0.871)	0.0544 (0.0321)	0.208* (0.124)	0.114 (0.241)	0.076 (0.211)
Years	-0.0652 (0.0321)	-0.9811** (0.08713)	0.00198 (0.00512)	-0.0249* (0.0139)	-0.0192 (0.09981)	-0.321 (0.762)
NPM	-0.0554*** (0.0776)	-0.07732*** (0.09861)	-0.0823*** (0.009981)	-0.0427*** (0.0117)	-0.07712*** (0.06632)	-0.0762 (0.03421)
Board	-0.221 (0.0984)	-0.0665 (0.08712)	-0.0421 (0.0442)	-0.0798 (0.0819)	-0.0191 (0.00192)	0.276 (0.872)
Leverage	0.0998	-0.1123 (0.0612)	0.192 (0.00912)	-0.290 (0.0140)	3.211 (0.0233)	-5.872* (0.0432)





Law				1.803*** (0.151)		0.772*** (0.08871)
Constant	28.81*** (1.981)	21.76*** (3.652)	17.23*** (2.443)	26.24*** (3.163)	24.81*** (2.212)	5.662* (3.662)
Observations	2415	2415	2415	2605	1890	1994
R-squared	0.281	0.212	0.182	0.294	0.981	
	0.281	0.212	0.182	0.294	0.981	
AR(1)						0.001
AR(2)						0.087
Sargan test(P)						0.811

Note: \*\*\*, \*\* and \* show the significance at 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1 levels.

**Swapping of Predicted Variable.** As revealed earlier, environmental information disclosure can be split between “EIDS and EIDH”. To evaluate the impact of air contamination on environmental information disclosure, we substitute the predicted variable of ecological information disclosure at “EIDH and EIDS”. Table 5 depicts the outcome. We discover a significant negative association relating to EIDH and air contamination, as well as between EIDS and air contamination. It highlights that the study's regression outcomes are strong.

**Allowing for the Influence of New Ecological Regulation.** In 2009, the ecological regulation came into being. First-ever, listed companies, including those in high energy consumption sectors, were mandated to publicly reveal ecological information thoroughly in their annual reports. In view of that, the researchers are curious if the companies are properly responding to the environmental regulations to enhance the quality of ecological information disclosure. So, considering the adoption of new environmental regulations into play, the variable of regulation is co-opted into the regression model, where regulation is equivalent to one after 2009. If not, then regulation is equivalent to nil. Once co-opting the variable of regulation, the researchers discover that regulation is critically positive, suggesting that the adoption of new ecological regulation really inspires companies to reveal extra ecological information. Furthermore, the importance of key variables mostly remains unchanged, signifying that findings in our research are strong.

**Endogenous Evaluation.** Organization environmental disclosure might have impacts on corporate performance, and therefore, the concern of reverse causality may be present, which then contributes to endogenous glitches and estimation bias. To overcome this concern, the researchers use one period lag of predictor variables and the application of the logical GMM methods in turn. Based on Table 5, the "air quality index" is considerably adverse, meaning the findings are strong.

**CONCLUSION**

This paper examines the impact of air contamination on company ecological information disclosure. The observed findings disclose that the association between air contamination and organization ecological information disclosure is critically negative. The results also indicate that the general class of ecological information disclosure in heavy polluting companies remains comparatively low level. The air contamination in Northern Province has a larger effect on corporate environmental information disclosure in comparison with Eastern Province. Furthermore, the effect of air contamination on an organization's ecological information disclosure varies according to the company's location. The enactment of innovative ecological regulations actually inspires companies



This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY-NC) 4.0 license

to reveal more environmental information. The findings of the study culminate in the ensuing consequences and recommendations.

First, the concerns of air contamination remain a boiling subject. In what way firms react to variations in air contamination is a critical matter requiring to be addressed. Earlier studies, to the best of researchers' knowledge, have failed to precisely set apart air contamination and organization ecological information disclosure. The paper concludes that in some provinces with dire air contamination, the companies in high energy consumption sectors are averse to revealing ecological facts. In what way to motivate companies in high energy consumption sectors to disclose a larger degree of ecological performance requires more research. Second, this research leads to an improved understanding of organization ecological behavior in emerging economies, particularly in South Africa. Due to the radical arrangement in South Africa, the government may desire economic expansion as opposed to environmental enhancement, resulting in the upsurge of environmental challenges. Given this background, some companies will disregard environmental matters and afford little consideration to environmental responsibility. Therefore, to properly manage environmental challenges in South Africa, it is essential to address the conflict between economic growth and ecological contamination.

However, the study is without limitations. In fact, a number of companies reveal straightforward data that is helpful but problematic to authenticate. It is tough to evade this challenge when quantifying environmental information through content analysis of environmental and sustainability reports. Consequently, the company's true behavior and reaction to air contamination may be miscalculated and misconstrued. Further studies can consolidate the figures of ecological investments to completely apprehend the concerns of air contamination on companies.

## REFERENCES

- Altieri, K. & Keen, S. (2016). The cost of air pollution in South Africa. <https://www.theigc.org/blog/the-cost-of-air-pollution-in-south-africa/> Date of Access: 23 May 2022.
- Acar, M., & Temiz, H. (2020). Empirical analysis on corporate environmental performance and environmental disclosure in an emerging market context. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 15(6): 1061–1082 <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-04-2019-0255>
- Artene, A., Bunget, O.-C., Dumitrescu, A.-C., Domil, A.-E., & Bogdan, O. (2020). Non-financial information disclosures and environmental protection –evidence from Romania and Greece. *Forests*, 11(8), 814. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f11080814>
- Belhaj, M., & Damak-Ayadi, S. (2011). Financial performance, environmental performance and environmental disclosure: the case of Tunisian firms. *Afro-Asian Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 2(3), 248–269. <https://doi.org/10.1504/AAJFA.2011.041632>
- Brooks, C., & Oikonomou, I. (2018). The effects of environmental, social and governance disclosures and performance on firm value: A review of the literature in accounting and finance. *The British Accounting Review*, 50(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2017.11.005>
- Cho, C. H., & Patten, D. M. (2013). Green accounting: Reflections from a CSR and environmental disclosure perspective. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 24(6), 443–447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2013.04.003>.
- Clarkson, P. M., Li, Y., Richardson, G. D., & Vasvari, F. P. (2008). Revisiting the relation between environmental performance and environmental disclosure: An empirical analysis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 33(4–5), 303–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2007.05.003>



- D'Amico, E., Coluccia, D., Fontana, S., & Solimene, S. (2016). Factors influencing corporate environmental disclosure. *Business strategy and the environment*, 25(3), 178–192. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1865>
- Fondevila, M. M., Moneva, J. M., & Scarpellini, S. (2019). Environmental disclosure and Eco-innovation interrelation. The case of Spanish firms. *Revista de Contabilidad-Spanish Accounting Review*, 22(1), 73–87. <https://doi.org/10.6018/rc-sar.22.1.354321>
- Fonseka, M., Rajapakse, T., & Richardson, G. (2019). The effect of environmental information disclosure and energy product type on the cost of debt: Evidence from energy firms in China. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 54, 159–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2018.05.001>
- Hassan, O. A., & Romilly, P. (2018). Relations between corporate economic performance, environmental disclosure and greenhouse gas emissions: New insights. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 27(7), 893–909. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2040>
- Iatridis, G. E. (2013). Environmental disclosure quality: Evidence on environmental performance, corporate governance and value relevance. *Emerging Markets Review*, 14, 55–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2012.11.003>
- Lin, Y., Huang, R. & Yao, X. 2021. Air pollution and environmental information disclosure: An empirical study based on heavy polluting industries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 278:1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124313>
- Liu, X., & Anbumozhi, V. (2009). Determinant factors of corporate environmental information disclosure: An empirical study of Chinese listed companies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 17(6), 593–600. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.10.001>
- Liu, Z. (2020). Unraveling the complex relationship between environmental and financial performance – A multilevel longitudinal analysis. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 219, 328–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.07.005>
- Mathuva, D. M., & Kiweu, J. M. (2016). Cooperative social and environmental disclosure and financial performance of savings and credit cooperatives in Kenya. *Advances in Accounting*, 35, 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adiac.2016.09.002>
- Mahenna, Y. & Dorweiler, V. P. (2004). Environmental Accounting: An essential component of business strategy. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 13, 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.395>
- Mol, A. P., He, G., & Zhang, L. (2011). Information disclosure in environmental risk management: Developments in China. *Journal of Current Chinese Affairs*, 40(3), 163–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/186810261104000307>
- Passetti, E., Cinquini, L., & Tenucci, A. (2018). Implementing internal environmental management and voluntary environmental disclosure. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 31(4), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-02-2016-2406>
- Patten, D. M. (2002). The relation between environmental performance and environmental disclosure: A research note. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 27(8), 763–773. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(02\)00028-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(02)00028-4)
- Pien, C.-P. (2020). Local environmental information disclosure and environmental non-governmental organizations in Chinese prefecture-level cities. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 275, 111225 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111225>

- Sun, D., Zeng, S., Chen, H., Meng, X., & Jin, Z. (2019). Monitoring the effect of transparency: How does government environmental disclosure facilitate corporate environmentalism? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28(8), 1594–1607. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2335>
- Tzouvanas, P., Kizys, R., Chatziantoniou, I., & Sagitova, R. (2020). Environmental disclosure and idiosyncratic risk in the European manufacturing sector. *Energy Economics*, 87, 104715. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2020.104715>
- Wasara, T.M. & Ganda, F. 2019. The relationship between corporate sustainability disclosure and firm financial performance in Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE) listed mining companies. *Sustainability*, 11, 4496. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11164496>
- Zeng, S., Xu, X., Dong, Z., & Tam, V. W. (2010). Towards corporate environmental information disclosure: An empirical study in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(12), 1142–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.04.005>
- Zhang, T., Xie, L., 2020. The protected polluters: empirical evidence from the national environmental information disclosure program in China. *Journal Cleaner of Production* 258, 120343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120343>